



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,755	02/19/2007	Nicholas Polge	70344/UST	1245
85981	7590	10/13/2010	EXAMINER	
Syngenta Corp Protection, Inc. 410 Swing Road Greensboro, NC 27409			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1616			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
10/13/2010	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/580,755	Applicant(s) POLGE, NICHOLAS
	Examiner ALTON N. PRYOR	Art Unit 1616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2010.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/06

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratledge (USPN 3997322; 12/14/76). Ratledge teach petroleum hydrocarbon spray oils in aqueous emulsion provides carries that enhance the effectiveness of certain herbicides (column 2 lines 14-36). Ratledge teaches that the petroleum hydrocarbon component includes paraffinic hydrocarbons (column 2 lines 37-59). Ratledge et al. teach that spray oil can contain emulsifying agents (surfactants). See column 3 line 45 - column 4 line 21. Ratledge teaches that triazine herbicides are included in the spray oil and that the spray oil is applied to soil (column 1). Ratledge does not specify the instant C13 to C55 carbon number for the instant paraffinic oil and the elected metolachlor herbicide. It would have been obvious to employ the instant C13 to C55 distributed paraffinic oil since Ratledge mentions no specific carbon distribution for the paraffinic hydrocarbon. Also note, Ratledge teaches that petroleum hydrocarbon (paraffinic oil) spray oils enhance the effectiveness of certain herbicides (column 2 lines 14-36). The term "certain herbicides" makes it obvious to the possibility of trying herbicides other than the triazines specifically recited in Ratledge. In the absence of unexpected results for the C13 to C55 distributed paraffinic oil and metolachlor, Ratledge makes obvious

the use of the instant paraffinic oil and metolachlor. Since Ratledge is silent to the carbon distribution of the paraffinic oil, it would have been obvious to employ the instant paraffin oil at the time of Ratledge's invention.

Claims 1,3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musselman (USPN 3551131; 12/29/70). Musselman et al. teach a composition comprising a herbicide, a non-phytotoxic oil such as paraffins having up to C18 (column 1). Musselman et al. teach that triazine herbicides are included in the composition and that emulsifiers, i.e. surfactants (column 1 lines 36-43) can be added to the composition (column 1). Musselman et al. does not exemplify an invention comprising instant herbicides and instant C13 to C55 distributed paraffin or wherein the herbicide is metolachlor. It would have been obvious to make an invention comprising a C13 to C18 paraffin. One would have been motivated to do this since Musselman et al. suggest the combination. Also note, Musselman et al. teaches that a variety of herbicides is suitable the instant invention (column 2 lines 31-34). The term "and the like" in reference to useful herbicides makes it obvious to try herbicides other than the triazines specifically recited in Musselman et al.

Election Status

The Elected invention comprising metolachlor and paraffin is not allowed. See rejections above. The Election requirement is final since numerous references would be required to address all each limitation in the claims.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616